



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJTDH_4868
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of intermittent preventive treatment for malaria among pregnant women in kubwa, abuja, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	i. Most of the citations are too old. There are a lot of works that have been done recently in this field, so the author may need to brows for the recent work. ii. Line 63 from This study should be in a new paragraph. iii. The author did not mention if this study was reviewed by any ethical committe iv. The authors need to be consistent in the spelling of some word: e.g line 23 (ante-natal) Line 73(antenatal). v. Table 1. The Parity should bold, and the line after primipara should be removed. Total after multipara and after <28weeks should also be removed vi. Table2: There should be total after 2 doses vii. Table 3: The lines after 20-30 should be removed and total should be written after >30 viii. Table 4: Total should be calculated and indicated ix. Line 136 and 137: The name of the country where Ibadan and Enugu is should be mentioned in the manuscript.	ii. Line 63: Correction done iii. Ethical approval obtained from the hospital management to access patient's clinical records. iv. consistency maintained. v. Table 1: Correction done. Total value is essential in the table. vi. Table 2: The parameters/items on this table are few and inclusion of total value may not be necessary vii. Table 3: Same as 'vi' above viii. Table 4: Same as 'vi' above x. Line 136-137: Name of country (Nigeria) included



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	i. Line 145 : The sentence “Despite the low utilization of IPTp in this study may be removed). ii. Line 171 seemed incomplete: The authors may make a suggestion on how this can be improved.	i. Line 145: I think the statement is appropriate, because, the beneficial effect of utilization of IPTp could be seen in this study despite the low utilization of IPTp among the pregnant women. ii. Line 171: The sentence is rephrased.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		